

FEEDS & FEEDING

A TECHNICAL PUBLICATION OF THE ANIMAL NUTRITION ACADEMY

Volume 2 – Issue 27

Feedlots should look twice at roasted soybeans

Ioannis Mavromichalis, MSc, PhD

This issue examines why roasting does not automatically turn a soybean into soybean meal plus oil, and six pitfalls that can undermine its value in feedlot diets.

Roasted soybeans are attracting renewed attention as a source of protein and energy for beef cattle. The proposition is appealing: retain the soybean's oil, use controlled heating to modify its protein, and obtain both nutrients from one ingredient. Properly prepared and incorporated into a suitable ration, roasted soybeans deserve consideration as we push for more weight gain in feedlot cattle. The ingredient's value, however, depends on more than its two headline numbers. Crude protein and fat values cannot show whether processing produced the intended protein response or whether the retained oil remains in suitable condition.

But much of what is known about their processing and nutritional behavior comes from dairy cattle, where roasted soybeans have a longer history of use, while direct feedlot information is only now expanding. That makes it important not to transfer theoretical value directly into a beef ration. Roasting is a treatment, not a nutritional guarantee. Too little heat, too much heat, deteriorating oil, unsuitable particle size, excessive dietary fat, and inconsistent processing can all change what the animal receives. The purpose of this issue is to examine the conditions under which their expected advantages may not be fully realized.

Saving energy can cost ingredient quality

Reducing fuel use makes sense only if the beans still receive adequate treatment. Lower temperatures, shorter heating times, or insufficient steeping can leave beans underprocessed, limiting the expected increase in rumen undegradable protein and leaving residual urease activity. The latter matters when urea is included because urease accelerates its conversion to ammonia. Neither problem is reliably identified by appearance or smell. Improving processing efficiency is not the same as simply applying less treatment. If the product is compromised, the processor saves energy while the feedlot buys less nutritional change than expected.

Bypass is not always more usable protein

More heat is not an unlimited route to better protein. Controlled heating can reduce ruminal degradation, but excessive treatment can also reduce subsequent digestibility and damage amino acids, especially lysine. Protein escaping the rumen still has to be digested in the intestine. A high bypass figure therefore does not prove that a roasted soybean supplies more usable protein, and normal crude protein does not rule out heat damage. The objective is enough protection while maintaining post-ruminal availability. Once extra heat reduces intestinal digestibility, roasting has overshot its purpose.

Roasted soybean oil can deteriorate

Retaining soybean oil is attractive, but it creates a storage responsibility. Heating can deactivate enzymes involved in rancidity, yet it does not make unsaturated oil immune to oxidation. Processed beans can deteriorate with heat, moisture, air exposure, or slow turnover; breaking them exposes more oil. Crude fat analysis confirms quantity, not freshness. Processing date, storage conditions, physical form, and turnover belong in the purchasing discussion.

Soybean oil can impair fiber digestion

The oil that increases energy density can also limit inclusion. Excess dietary oil, particularly unsaturated oil, can interfere with rumen fermentation and depress fiber digestion. Roasting does not remove that constraint. Beans with 20% oil included at 10% of ration dry matter contribute two percentage points of dietary oil before other ingredients are counted. The mistake is to value that oil without considering the complete ration. At sufficiently high total oil, poorer digestion of other feeds can offset part of the apparent advantage. Soybean oil is both an asset and a formulation constraint.

Roasting is not the last processing step

Heat treatment and physical processing perform different jobs. Roasting alters protein characteristics, but whole roasted beans may still require cracking, rolling, or grinding. Some suppliers do that work before delivery; others may not. Cracking can improve utilization compared with feeding whole beans, while finer grinding can also reduce protein escape. Physical form therefore matters in both directions. Additional processing adds labor, energy, capacity requirements, storage effects, and shrink to the real ingredient cost. “Roasted” should not automatically mean “ready to feed.”

Buy the beans not the roaster setting

Modern roasting equipment can offer precise control, but it does not guarantee that every load is nutritionally identical. Temperature, heating duration, bean moisture, throughput, particle size, steeping, and cooling can influence the finished product. Repeating the same setting does not necessarily reproduce the same nutritional outcome. Verification should therefore focus on the beans delivered. Representative sampling, checks for insufficient treatment and heat damage, and routine moisture, protein, and fat analyses provide more useful evidence. No single test answers every question. What matters commercially is whether successive loads deliver the characteristics for which the buyer is paying.

In Brief, roasted soybeans can provide protein and energy in feedlot diets, but heating does not make them soybean meal plus oil.

Underprocessing can leave protein insufficiently protected; excessive heat can reduce digestibility; retained oil can deteriorate or contribute enough fat to impair fiber digestion; and further physical processing may be required. The proper question is whether a lot has been processed, handled, and formulated well enough to deliver its assigned value. Roasted soybeans can earn their place in feedlot diets. The word “roasted” cannot earn it for them.

BEEF EDITION | 6 October 2026

DISCLAIMER

Feeds & Feeding is published by Animal Nutrition Academy for educational and reference purposes. Although reasonable care is taken to ensure accuracy, the author(s) and Animal Nutrition Academy accept no liability, to the extent permitted by law, for loss or damage arising from reliance on or application of this material. Readers remain responsible for its interpretation and use.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

© Animal Nutrition Academy. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted in any form without prior written permission.

Archived at www.animalnutritionacademy.com.